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Abstract—The manipulation of fast moving, delicate tissues in beating heart procedures presents a considerable challenge to the surgeon. A robotic force tracking system can assist the surgeon by applying precise contact forces to the beating heart during surgical manipulation. Standard force control approaches cannot safely attain the required bandwidth for this application due to vibratory modes within the robot structure. These vibrations are a limitation even for single degree of freedom systems driving long surgical instruments. These bandwidth limitations can be overcome by incorporating feed-forward motion terms in the control law. For intracardiac procedures, the required motion estimates can be derived from 3D ultrasound imaging. Dynamic analysis shows that a force controller with feed-forward motion terms can provide safe and accurate force tracking for contact with structures within the beating heart. In vivo validation confirms that this approach confers a 50% reduction in force fluctuations when compared to a standard force controller and a 75% reduction in fluctuations when compared to manual attempts to maintain the same force.
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I. INTRODUCTION

In beating heart procedures, the surgeon operates on the heart while it continues to pump. These procedures eliminate the need for cardiopulmonary bypass and its associated morbidities [1], and allow the surgeon to evaluate the procedure under physiologic loading conditions. The latter is particularly useful in the repair of cardiac structures like the mitral valve that undergo substantial mechanical loads during the heart cycle [2]. However, surgical manipulation of the beating heart is challenging because heart motion exceeds the human tracking bandwidth of approximately one Hz [3]. The mitral valve annulus, for instance, traverses most of its 10–20 mm trajectory and undergoes three direction changes in approximately 100 ms [4]. This makes the application of precise forces for surgical tasks like mitral valve annuloplasty difficult. Indeed, recent animal trials indicate that beating heart repair of the mitral valve cannot be performed reliably due to its fast motion [5].

A force controlled robotic surgical system could benefit the surgeon by applying precise forces to the heart as it moves. Previous work on surgical force control has largely focused on force feedback for teleoperation of surgical instruments and robots (reviewed in [6]). Force feedback has demonstrated a number of performance benefits in the execution of remote surgical tasks [7], [8] and can enhance safety when used to implement virtual workspace limits [9]. In this setting, the primary role of the force controller is to provide haptic information to the user while the user commands the robot to interact with the surgical target.

In contrast, beating heart applications require the robot controller to autonomously maintain prescribed forces of the instrument against the target tissue despite its fast motion. One major concern is safety, given the well-documented occurrence of instability in force control [10], [11], [12], [13]. A robotic system for beating heart surgery must be damped and stable to ensure that it will not overshoot or oscillate in response to changes in the desired force trajectory or sudden target motions. Furthermore, the system must have sufficient bandwidth to reject the disturbance caused by heart motion. Previous research indicates that standard force control strategies can only achieve stability for low closed-loop bandwidths due to vibratory modes in the robot structure [11], [12], [13]. These findings were obtained in the context of large industrial robots interacting with stiff targets. To ensure adequate robot performance and safety, it is essential to determine whether the same limitations exist in beating heart surgery where the target is soft but rapidly moving.

In this work, we study force control in the context of beating heart surgery and find that the standard force controller does indeed suffer from bandwidth restrictions due to the vibratory modes present in long surgical instruments. However, by incorporating feed-forward tissue motion information into the
controller, safe and accurate force tracking can be achieved at low bandwidth. In preliminary work we experimentally demonstrated the efficacy of the approach [14], and in the following we provide a detailed analysis of the feed-forward force controller, as well as in vitro and in vivo validation. In the first part of this paper we show that simultaneously achieving an adequately damped system with good disturbance rejection is challenging because it requires a closed-loop bandwidth that would excite undesired vibratory modes in the robot.

Subsequently, we describe a force tracking system that bypasses these bandwidth limitations by using feed-forward heart motion information derived from 3D ultrasound to augment the controller. The system, shown in Fig. 1, is adapted for beating heart mitral valve annuloplasty. It uses a one degree of freedom actuated instrument termed the motion compensation instrument (MCI, Fig. 2) that can follow the rapid, nearly uniaxial motion of the mitral valve annulus [4]. We validate our system and demonstrate its utility to the surgeon in an in vivo experiment in a large animal model.

II. RIGID BODY ANALYSIS

To gain some insight into the use of force control in beating heart surgery, we first consider the case of a perfectly rigid robotic instrument. The robot is modeled as a mass $m$ and damper $b$ subjected to a commanded actuator force $f_a$ and environment contact force $f_c$. The damper $b$ captures the effects of friction in the robot, friction at the insertion point to the heart, and fluid motion. Approximating the environment as a spring of stiffness $k_e$ yields the system dynamics

$$m\ddot{x} + b\dot{x} = f_a - k_e(x - x_e), \quad (1)$$

where $x$ is the instrument tip position and $x_e$ is the desired tissue target position (i.e., its position if it were not deformed by contact). The model in (1) assumes rigid contact between the instrument and compliant target and is illustrated in Fig. 3. For simplicity, we neglect force sensor compliance in the model because it is significantly stiffer than the tissue environment.

Now consider a standard force regulator control law

$$f_a = f_d + K_f(f_d - f_c) - K_v\dot{x}, \quad (2)$$

where $K_f$ and $K_v$ are controller gains and $f_d$ is the desired force [16]. Combining (1) and (2) and applying the Laplace transform gives the closed-loop contact force relationship

$$F_c(s) = T(s)F_d(s) + Z(s)X_e(s), \quad (3)$$

where the force tracking transfer function $T(s)$ and robot impedance transfer function $Z(s)$ are

$$T(s) \triangleq \frac{F_c(s)}{F_d(s)} = \frac{k_e(1 + K_f)}{C(s)}, \quad (4)$$

$$Z(s) \triangleq \frac{F_c(s)}{X_e(s)} = -\frac{k_e(s + K_v + b)}{C(s)}, \quad (5)$$

$$C(s) = s^2 + \frac{K_v + b}{m}s + \frac{k_e}{m}(1 + K_f). \quad (6)$$

Equation (3) makes explicit that target motion $x_e$ is a disturbance that perturbs $f_c$ from $f_d$.

Controller gains $K_f$ and $K_v$ are chosen to ensure system stability, sufficient damping, and good rejection of $x_e$. The last is achieved by designing $Z(s)$ to have small magnitude in the bandwidth of $X_e(s)$. For the mitral valve annulus, which is bandlimited to approximately 15 Hz [4], this is equivalent to setting the impedance corner frequency $f_z$ greater than or equal to 15 Hz. Fig. 4 depicts typical mitral valve annulus motion [4] and its effect on the contact force for various $f_z$ based on simulations of (3)–(5) with $f_d = 0$. Parameter values of $m = 0.27$ kg, $b = 18.0$ Ns/m, and $k_e = 133$ N/m are assumed based on system identification of the MCI and preliminary
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Fig. 2. The motion compensation instrument (MCI) is a handheld surgical anchor deployment device. It is actuated in one degree of freedom to cancel the dominant 1D motion component of the mitral valve annulus. A tip-mounted optical force sensor [15] measures contact forces against beating heart tissue.
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Fig. 3. Rigid body robot model in contact with a moving, compliant environment.
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estimates of the mitral valve annulus stiffness. As we will show shortly, obtaining a large impedance corner frequency $f_z$ is synonymous with increasing the natural frequency $f_n$ of the closed-loop system, which is also equivalent to increasing $K_f$.

The natural frequency $f_n$ and damping $\zeta$ of the system can be expressed as

$$f_n = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sqrt{\frac{k_c}{m} (1 + K_f)}, \quad \zeta = \frac{b}{4\pi mf_n}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

(8)

To avoid potentially dangerous overshoot, we set the system to be critically damped ($\zeta = 1.0$). Manipulating (5), (7), and (8), it can be shown that the natural frequency $f_n$ of a critically damped system is a function of the impedance corner frequency $f_z$ by

$$f_n = \left( \frac{\sqrt{208} - 14}{6} \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} f_z \approx 3.7698 f_z.$$  \hspace{1cm} (9)

Hence, while a position regulator can follow a trajectory bandlimited to 15 Hz with about the same closed-loop natural frequency, a force regulator must have a natural frequency of approximately 57 Hz to follow the same motion. This indicates that the force regulator inherently requires high bandwidth to compensate for target motion. From (7)–(9) we calculate gain settings of $K_f = 255.2$ and $K_v = 173.8$ to set our system to be critically damped with $f_z = 15$ Hz, assuming that the rigid body model is appropriate at such high gains. Fig. 5 shows the system damping and impedance corner frequency over a range of values for $K_f$ and $K_v$. It is clear that there is a trade-off between the two performance criteria: increasing $K_f$ increases the corner frequency but decreases damping; the opposite is true for $K_v$. Because of this trade-off, achieving suitable disturbance rejection ($f_z \geq 15$ Hz) while maintaining damping ($\zeta \geq 1$) requires large gains.

III. BANDWIDTH CONSTRAINTS DUE TO ROBOT DYNAMICS

In this section, we study the effect of high gains on robot performance. Extensive prior work on force control has delineated a number of instabilities that can arise in attempting to control forces using multiple degree of freedom robot arms in contact with hard surfaces [11], [12], [13]. In particular, force controllers can excite structural modes in the manipulator, leading to high amplitude force transients at the end effector. These mechanisms do not pertain to this surgical application, where the end effectors tend to be long, rod-like instruments in order to reach patient anatomy through small ports, and tissues are highly compliant.

It is well known, however, that axial motion of such long rods excites transverse vibrations [17]. The dynamics describing this motion are nonlinear and have time varying parameters, but for the purposes of developing effective force controllers it is not necessary to model these dynamics: we need only to determine the frequency at which they become significant so that reasonable bandwidth restrictions can be imposed on the rigid body model and closed-loop system analysis of the preceding section. While structural resonance can be used to enhance performance in some situations, it is typically avoided because inadvertent excitation of the resonance can destabilize the controller, reduce the positional accuracy of the instrument, and cause undue wear to the robot. In the present application, resonance can further cause injury to the patient from the transmission of vibrational energy to the tissue in contact with the robot.

In the following, we analytically and empirically demonstrate that vibration precludes the use of the high gain force regulator suggested in Fig. 5. We first demonstrate that vibration occurs at relatively low frequency for surgical robots with long instruments. Subsequently, we empirically demonstrate that these vibrations are significant in our system and can lead to instability as $K_f$ is increased until the natural frequency approaches the resonance of the instrument.

A. Gain Limit to Avoid Vibration

Consider a cylindrical rod of length $l$ and radius $r$ undergoing axial motion while compressed by a force $f_c$ (Fig. 6). At low velocities and at compressive loads much smaller than the Euler buckling load (i.e., $f_c << \frac{E r^2}{4 \pi}$), the fundamental mode of transverse vibration is well approximated by

$$f_t \approx \frac{3.5156}{4\pi} \sqrt{\frac{E}{\rho \pi^2}},$$  \hspace{1cm} (10)
For simplicity we approximate the entire structure as a solid cylindrical rod. Assuming the same system parameters as before, (10) and (11) predict that the fundamental resonance frequency and gain limit $K_j^{\text{limit}}$ is a function of the Young's modulus and density of the material making up the rod [18]. At large velocities, the fundamental frequency is time varying [17]. At large compressive loads, the fundamental frequency decreases [19]. We omit both of these phenomena for ease of analysis.

The closed-loop natural frequency of the system should be set lower than the first resonance (i.e., $f_n < f_1$) in order to avoid the effects of vibration. Combining (7) and (10) provides a limit on the proportional gain

$$K_j^{\text{limit}} = \frac{m}{k_e} \left( \frac{3.5156^2 E \rho^2}{4 \rho \frac{L^4}{l^4}} \right) - 1. \quad (11)$$

The value of $K_j$ should be chosen substantially lower than $K_j^{\text{limit}}$ so that the gain of the closed-loop system is small through the spectral extent of the resonance.

The MCI is mounted with a stainless steel 14 gauge blunt needle ($E = 200$ GPa, $\rho = 7900$ kg/m$^3$, $r = 1.1$ mm) with an inner stainless steel push rod used for anchor deployment in mitral valve annuloplasty [20]. Its length is $l = 22.8$ cm$^3$. For simplicity we approximate the entire structure as a solid cylindrical rod. Assuming the same system parameters as before, (10) and (11) predict that the fundamental resonance occurs at $f_1 = 29.8$ Hz and the limit on the proportional gain is $K_j^{\text{limit}} = 70.1$. Referring to the rigid body performance plots in Fig. 5, it is clear that the controller gains cannot be set high enough to simultaneously avoid resonance and meet the criteria of a damped system with high bandwidth.

**B. Experimentally Observed Vibration and Instability**

Although avoiding vibratory motion in a surgical robot is intuitively appealing, it remains unclear if such motion is severe enough to present a problem in beating heart surgery. Here we experimentally demonstrate that these vibrations can affect the accuracy of the instrument tip position and also lead to unstable behavior. We furthermore validate (10) and (11) for predicting the fundamental resonance frequency and gain limit $K_j^{\text{limit}}$, respectively.

1) **Characterization of Transverse Vibration Over Frequency:** The MCI was positioned horizontally and clamped along its base to restrict vibrations to only the instrument shaft. The instrument was commanded to follow axial, sinusoidal motion inputs at frequencies between one and 100 Hz. The axial position of the actuator was measured by a high linearity potentiometer (CLP13-50, P3 America, San Diego, CA, USA) at 1 kHz. Transverse vibration of the instrument tip was imaged by a digital camera (EOS 20D, Canon, Tokyo, Japan) with 200 ms exposure time. Vibration amplitudes were measured by a scale placed in the image and oriented to the plane of motion.

Fig. 7 depicts the transverse vibrations observed at 10, 27, and 30 Hz. Large transverse motions are apparent at 27 Hz. Fig. 8 shows the magnitude of the transverse displacement normalized by the magnitude of the axial displacement over frequency. The resonance frequency occurs at 27 Hz, in close agreement with the predicted value from Section III-A. The resonance peak is 9.8 dB and its effect becomes small at approximately 22.2 Hz. Vibration for inputs with frequencies below 10 Hz and from 50–100 Hz were negligible.

Excitation of the observed resonance would not be safe for beating heart surgery. For context, the mitral valve annulus is a ring of smooth tissue with an approximate width of a few millimeters. Vibration while in contact with the annulus could cause the instrument to slip into the mitral valve or adjacent cardiac structures. Contact with tissue could also transfer its energy to the patient anatomy and could cause injury.

2) **Force Regulator Instability at High Gain:** In this experiment we study the effect of vibration on stability by testing increasing values of $K_j$ that approach the predicted $K_j^{\text{limit}} = 70.1$ from Section III-A. This is equivalent to testing the system at increasing natural frequencies that approach the experimentally observed 27 Hz resonance of the MCI.

As before, the MCI was positioned horizontally on a flat surface and clamped along its body to restrict vibrations to only the instrument shaft. The instrument tip was placed in series with a steel leaf spring with a stiffness matched to the approximate stiffness of the mitral valve annulus ($k_e = 133$ N/m). The desired force $f_d$ was a unit step and the MCI was controlled by the force regulator law in (2). Gain values for $K_j$ ranged from zero to 55 in steps of five and $K_v$ was...
V. TISSUE MOTION ESTIMATION WITH 3D ULTRASOUND

Because our surgical application is performed on the mitral valve annulus inside of the beating heart, a real-time imaging technology that can image tissue through blood is required for guidance. We employ 3D ultrasound because it is currently the only technology that meets these criteria while providing volumetric information. To obtain the motion terms needed in the feed-forward controller, we first determine the position of the tissue in the ultrasound volume using the real-time tissue segmentation algorithm from [20]. The algorithm takes advantage of the high spatial coherence of the instrument, which appears as a bright and straight object in the volume, to designate the tissue target. Fig. 10 depicts using this method to track a mitral annulus point in a beating porcine heart.

As in previous work [4], we model the nearly uniaxial motion of the mitral valve annulus as a time-varying Fourier series with an offset and truncated to $m$ harmonics

$$x_c(t) = c(t) + \sum_{i=1}^{m} r_i(t) \sin(\theta_i(t)),$$

where $c(t)$ is the offset, $r_i(t)$ are the harmonic amplitudes, and $\theta_i(t) \triangleq \int_0^t \omega(\tau)d\tau + \phi_i(t)$, with heart rate $\omega(t)$ and harmonic phases $\phi_i(t)$. Prior to contact, measurements from the tissue tracker are used to train an extended Kalman filter.
to provide estimates of the model parameters $\dot{c}(t)$, $\dot{r}_i(t)$, $\ddot{\omega}(t)$, and $\dot{\theta}(t)$. These parameters are used to generate smooth feed-forward velocity and acceleration terms for the force controller of (12) using the derivatives of (13). After contact, filter updates are stopped because the robot interacts with the tissue, causing subsequent position measurements to no longer be representative of the feed-forward (i.e. desired) tissue motion.

VI. IN VIVO SYSTEM VALIDATION

A. Experimental Setup

In vivo validation of the system was performed in a beating heart Yorkshire pig model (Fig. 11). The tip of the MCI was inserted into the left atrial appendage and secured by a purse-string suture. The 3D ultrasound probe (SONOS 7500, Philips Healthcare, Andover, MA, USA) was positioned epicardially on the free wall of the left ventricle to image the mitral valve and instrument. The probe was placed in a bag with transmission gel to improve contact with the irregular surface of the heart. The surgeon was instructed to hold the instrument tip against the mitral valve annulus with a constant 2.5 N force for approximately 30 sec. This task was performed under three conditions: manually (i.e. fixed instrument with no robot control), using the force regulator in (2), and using the feed-forward force controller in (12). Contact forces were visually displayed to the surgeon during the task and recorded for offline assessment. Three trials were attempted for each condition. The experimental protocol was approved by the Children’s Hospital Boston Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee. The animal received humane care in accordance with the 1996 Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals, recommended by the US National Institute of Health.

In all force controlled trials, the controller gains were designed for $\zeta = 1.05$, $f_n = 8$ Hz ($K_f = 4.1$ and $K_v = 10.5$) based on parameter values $m = 0.27$ kg, $b = 18.0$ Ns/m, and $k_e = 133.0$ N/m. The gains were left intentionally low to guarantee controller stability in the unstructured environment of the operating room where off-axis loading could result in instrument bending, as well as to account for uncertainty and variability in heart stiffness. The elastic properties of the heart can vary by a factor of three from patient to patient in normal human hearts and hearts afflicted with congestive cardiomyopathy are on average five times stiffer than the average healthy heart [25].

The force tracking system uses a dual CPU AMD Opteron 285 2.6 GHz PC with 4 GB of RAM to process the ultrasound data and control the MCI. The 3D ultrasound machine streams volumes at 28 Hz to the PC over a 1 Gb LAN using TCP/IP. A program written in C++ retrieves the ultrasound volumes and loads them onto a GPU (7800GT, nVidia Corp, Santa Clara, CA, USA) for real-time beating heart tissue segmentation. This provides tissue position measurements that are used to train the extended Kalman filter. After 5 sec of initialization, the filter outputs estimates of the tissue velocity and acceleration. These are used in tandem with force measurements from a custom, tip-mounted optical force sensor (0.17 N RMS accuracy and 0-4 N range [14], [15]) according to the control law in (12) in a 1 kHz servo loop. As before, the controller was approximated as continuous because the effects of 1 kHz discretization on this 15 Hz bandlimited system is negligible. The MCI is powered by a linear power amplifier (BOP36-1.5 M, Kepco, Flushing, NY, USA).

B. Results

Fig. 12 provides example force traces for the task executed manually, with the force regulator, and with the feed-forward force controller. Table I lists the force standard deviations for each trial. Averaged across all trials, manual contact with the annulus yielded force standard deviations of $0.48 \pm 0.06$ N (mean $\pm$ std error). The force regulator reduced these deviations to $0.22 \pm 0.01$ N with clear statistical significance in a two-sided $t$-test ($p = 0.012$). The feed-forward force controller reduced the deviations to approximately 25% of the manual case ($0.11 \pm 0.02$ N, $p = 0.017$). Statistical significance was also found between the force regulator and feed-forward controller conditions ($p = 0.009$). These results
are summarized in Fig. 13. The third trial for the feed-forward force controller was omitted from statistical analysis because the animal showed reduced cardiac viability at the end of the experiment. The implications of this result and possible improvements to the surgical procedure are discussed in greater detail in Section VII.

The force regulator and feed-forward force controller also reduced peak-to-peak forces. Manual use of the instrument gave swings in the contact force of 2.57 ± 0.29 N. The force regulator and feed-forward force controller reduced these to 1.16 ± 0.10 N and 0.65 ± 0.04 N, respectively. Statistical significance was found between all conditions at $p < 0.05$.

VII. DISCUSSION

The results from our in vivo experiment underscore the benefit of a force controlled robot in beating heart procedures. Without force control, placement of the instrument against the mitral valve annulus gave peak-to-peak force swings of 2.57 N, which is unacceptable compared to the desired 2.5 N force set point. The standard force regulator reduced this fluctuation by 50% and the feed-forward controller reduced it by another 50%. In the case of the feed-forward controller, the precision of the contact forces was 0.11 N. In all of the force controlled experiments, the surgeon expressed greater confidence in instrument manipulation against the beating mitral valve annulus, with the feed-forward controller subjectively better than the standard force regulator. These findings suggest that robotic force control may be an effective aid to the surgeon for beating heart mitral annuloplasty. We note, however, that a potential limitation of the current study is that manual tasks were done with a (nonactuated) motion compensation instrument, which is heavier than typical surgical tools.

The in vivo results also verify that safe, precise robotic force tracking is feasible inside of the beating heart through the use of feed-forward target motion information in the controller. This approach enables the robotic system to operate at the motion bandwidth of the heart while simultaneously ensuring damping and providing good disturbance rejection. In contrast, a purely force feedback controller would require a bandwidth approximately 3.8 times higher than the heart motion bandwidth to have the same performance. Our analysis and laboratory experiments indicate that force control at such high bandwidth excites transverse vibrations in the robot that could lead to a variety of dangerous outcomes, including controller instability.

The difficulty in achieving a fast and stable force controller has been examined extensively by other researchers, typically in the industrial setting where large, multiple degree of freedom robots interact with stiff, nearly motionless surfaces. Their analyses found a number of fundamental sources for instability at high bandwidth such as sampling time [10], actuator bandwidth limitations [12], force measurement filtering [12], actuator and transmission dynamics [13], and flexible modes in the robot arm [11], [13]. Recent in vivo force control experiments using a multiple degree of freedom endoscopic robot in contact with liver indicate that arm dynamics can limit controller bandwidth to the extent that it is not able to adequately reject slow respiratory motion [26]. For our system and application, the dominant source of instability is from the flexible modes in the surgical instrument. This is somewhat surprising given the simplicity of our robot: basically a small, one degree of freedom actuator mounted with a stiff, nonarticulated rod as an end effector. However, our analysis and experiments confirm that these resonances should and do occur in our robot and are also likely to occur in the instruments mounted to standard surgical robots.

To overcome the bandwidth limitations imposed by vibration, we developed a system that exploits the quasiperiodicity in heart motion to generate feed-forward motion terms for the force controller. Similar approaches have been used for robotic
position tracking of the beating heart. Independently, Ginhoux et al. [23] and Bebek and Cavusolgu [24] have demonstrated that the use of model predictive control can increase the effective tracking bandwidth and positioning accuracy of their multiple degree of freedom robots for coronary artery bypass graft procedures. Our previous work has focused on heart motion prediction to compensate for the time delays and noise inherent in 3D ultrasound-guided, robotic intracardiac procedures [4], [20]. These groups have all demonstrated the in vivo feasibility of accurately positioning a robotic instrument relative to a beating heart surgical target. In the current work, we address the successive problem of tracking the heart while applying precise contact forces for surgical manipulation. We pursue this from the perspective of force control and, to the knowledge of the authors, our in vivo experiment is the first demonstration of such an approach within the beating heart. Approximately 10 sec of contact are needed to securely place surgical anchors into the annulus. Our in vivo experiments demonstrate that the system can control to precise forces for up to at least 20 sec.

A limitation of the current system is that image-based updates of target position are stopped after contact is made because the interaction of the robot with the tissue causes the tissue to deviate from its desired trajectory. In cases of high heart rate variability or arrhythmia, the system would not perform well because tissue motion would not follow the feed-forward model predictions provided by the EKF. This poor performance was observed in the third in vivo trial of the feed-forward force controller (Table I). Although not pursued in this work, a clinical workaround may exist for operating on a heart with low motion periodicity: the spontaneous beating of the heart can be slowed through the administration of drugs and then the heart can be electrically paced at a fixed frequency [27]. This may mitigate both heart rate drift and arrhythmia and we believe it would have improved performance for the third in vivo trial. This proposed clinical approach is expected to work for most patients experiencing arrhythmia but, as in all surgical procedures, some patients may be ineligible for this beating heart procedure based on the severity of pre-existing conditions.

Finally, we note that there are alternatives to our approach of feeding-forward target motion in order to avoid vibration at high bandwidth. For instance, one could attempt to structurally reinforce the surgical instrument to shift the resonance to higher frequencies, use preshaped command inputs to avoid excitation of the resonance [28], actively control vibration [29], redesign the robot to have a macro-mini actuation scheme so that fast actions are located closer to the instrument tip [30], or use iterative learning control [31]. One could also place a three-axis position sensor on the tip of the instrument, build a nonlinear model to describe the axial-to-transverse coupling dynamics [17], and then attempt the control in a nonlinear controller. Because the stiffness of heart tissue is not known with high accuracy [25], the controller may also have to be designed in the robust or adaptive control frameworks. The plausibility of these approaches should be investigated further. However, a low bandwidth control approach circumvents not just vibration, but all issues that limit or destabilize the controller at high bandwidth.
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